LCW LIEBERT CASSIDY WHITMORE

Legal Update: Tucker, Background Checks, and Conviction Disqualifiers

Personnel Testing Council of Southern California 2013 Annual Conference November 15, 2013

Presented By: Meredith Karasch



Tucker – Facts

- Tucker laid off from Director of Operations
- Applied for Maintenance manager
- Tucker was qualified but District chose an outside applicant



Tucker

- Section 45298 provides:
- "Persons laid off because of lack of work or lack of funds are eligible to reemployment for a period of 39 months and shall be reemployed in preference to new applicants."
- Section 45308 provides :
- "Classified employees shall be subject to layoff for lack of work or lack of funds. Whenever a classified employee is laid off, the order of layoffs within the class shall be determined by length of service. The employee who has been employed the shortest time in the class, plus higher classes, shall be laid off first."

Tucker – Holding

- District must give laid-off employees or or a position for which they are qualified.
- The position does not need to be in the same lass as the employee's previous position for oreference to apply.
- Section 45298 does not provide a guarantee of employment to a laid off employee.
- A district may set its own hiring criteria
- Laid off employee must meet the criteria.

ICWI IIII CIANT

Tucker – The Saga Continues

- Plaintiff laid off and reemployed in a lower osition
- Released from probation
- Argued she was a permanent employee

CSEA. v. Governing Bd. of the East Side of Union High School Dist. (2011) 193 Cal.App.4th 540.

 $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{M}$

- Plaintiff argued that the reemployment
- preference under Tucker and section
- 5298 meant that she was permanent in he new position
- Court found that the right to
- eemployment does not mean employee
- etains permanence in the new position

And continues...

- Plaintiff laid off as Director of Special Projects
- Argued that less senior Director of Operations hould have been laid off
- Court found that plaintiff could not bump into a osition which was occupied

Hernandez v. Palo Verde USD (2011) 2011 WL 1314463 (unpublished).

- Argued that section 45308 required District to ay off employee with less seniority in a position be previously held
- Court found plaintiff wanted to bump employee
- *Fucker* addresses the fear that laid off employee has inappropriate priority over employees, "Nothing in the statutory provisions lives the laid-off employee the right to a osition currently held by another employee."

I CINI I HARAN CHARACTER INTERNA

Tucker – What we've learned

- ucker does not
- Guarantee reemployment
- Prohibit probationary period in reemployed position
- Allow employees to bump into occupied positions
- Tucker does require Districts to offer acant positions to qualified people on the reemployment list

AB 218

- uly 1, 2014 adds Labor Code section 32.9
- a) Agency shall not ask about "information concerning the conviction history of the pplicant . . . until the agency has letermined the applicant meets the ninimum employment qualifications."
- ncludes asking on an application

Labor Code section 432.9

"This section shall not be construed to prevent a state or local agency from conducting a conviction history background check after complying with all of the provisions of subdivision (a)."



Labor Code section 432.9

"This section shall not apply to a position or which a state or local agency is otherwise required by law to conduct a conviction history background check, to any osition within a criminal justice agency . . . or to any individual working on a temporary or permanent basis for a criminal justice igency."

Background Investigations Required:

- To employee may work for a school who has been convicted of specified crimes hvolving controlled substances, sex crimes, and violent felonies.
- Applicants for classified positions may not be employed until the criminal check has been completed.



Labor Code section 432.9

f) Section 433 does not apply to this section. Section 433 - "Any person violating this article s guilty of a misdemeanor."



Penal Code section 11105

- Section 11105(t) provides that
- When DOJ furnishes criminal history information
- For "employment, licensing, or certification purposes,"
- If the agency makes an adverse decision
- Agency must expeditiously furnish a copy of the information
- To the last known contact address

Reference Checks Online

- mmon sources used by employers:
- Social networking sites
- MySpace/Facebook
- ′ouTube
- Google



<u>AB 1844</u>

- AB 1844 prohibits employers from equiring or requesting an employee or applicant to:
- Disclose a username or password for the purpose of accessing personal social media,
- Access personal social media in the presence of the employer, or
- Divulge any personal social media.

The EEOC, Criminal Records, and Discrimination

cially neutral polices having a disparate impact on rotected group are unlawful under Title VII unless y are also "job related and consistent with siness necessity."

See EEOC, Consideration of Arrest and Conviction Records in Employment Decisions Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (4/25/2012), available at:

http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/guidance/arrest_conviction.cfm

I CIM I HARREN CHARREN MINTER

The EEOC, Criminal Records, and Discrimination

Employment exclusions made on account of an employee's previous criminal conduct are considered "job related and consistent with business necessity" only if the risks associated with the nature of the position are enhanced by the applicant's previous criminal conduct.

Targeted Screening

- To determine whether risks associated with the nature of the position are enhanced by the applicant's previous criminal conduct, mployers must consider:
- The nature and gravity of the offense or conduct;
- The time that has passed since the offense, conduct and/or completion of the sentence;
- The nature of the job held or sought.

ICINI I CONTRACTOR INTERNAL

Individualized Assessment

- The EEOC recommends using "individualized screening
- In individualized assessment is a three-step process nat requires:
- Informing an individual that he/she may be excluded because of past criminal conduct;
- Offering that individual the opportunity to demonstrate that the exclusion should not apply to him/her;
- Deciding whether the individual should continue to be excluded after taking account of his/her individual circumstances.

ICWI Impany Character Withows

Relevant Considerations

- In individualized assessment should consider:
- -The facts or circumstances surrounding the offense or conduct;
- -The number of offenses for which the individual was convicted;
- -Age at the time of conviction, or release from prison;
- -Evidence that the individual performed the same type of work, post conviction, with the same or a different employer, with no known incidents of criminal conduct.

ICWI IMPART CHARTER MINTER

Relevant Considerations (Cont.)

- The length and consistency of employment history before and after the offense or conduct;
- Rehabilitation efforts, e.g., education/training;
- Employment or character references and any other information regarding fitness for the particular position; and
- Whether the individual is bonded under a federal, state, or local bonding program.

Employment Eligibility

The I-9 form – new for 2013

lave employee fill out Section 1 on first page



Employment Eligibility Verification

Department of Homeland Security U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services USCIS Form I-9 OMB No. 1615-0047 Expires 03/3 1/2016

► START HERE. Readinatucion: care uii): before completing this form. The instructions multiple available during completion of his form ART-DISCRIMATION NOTICE: It is legal to door in tate agains twork and orize of idid drab. Employees CANNOT specify wild doorne stdy they wildocept from a remployee. The refract b line as individual because the doorne statos presented has a fit the exploration date may also constitute lineaging doorne into it.

Section 1. Employee Information and Attestation (Employees must complete and sign Section 1 of Form +9 no later than the first day of employment, but not before accepting a job offer.)

Last Name (Pamily Name)	First Na	me (Given Name) Middle Inittal	Other Names Used	(Fany)
Addess (SteetNumberan	dNawe)	Apt. Number	C lly or Town	State	Zip Code
Date of Bitti (mm/bb/)999)	U.S. Social Security Numbe	f EmailAddes	5		a lone Number

I am aware that federal law provides for imprisonment and/or fines for false statements or use of false documents in connection with the completion of this form.

lattest, under penalty of perjury, that I am (check one of the following):

Acitizen of the United States

Foreign Passport Number:

Anoncitizen national of the United States (See instructions)

Alawful permanent resident (Alien Registration Number/USCIS Number):

- At alls La transverse dro work Littl (expiration date, Fapp loable, mm/dd/yyyy) _______. Some alls is may write "NA" in this feld. (See instructions)
- For allens authorized to work, provide your Allen Registration Number/USCIS Number OR Form 1-84 Admission Number: 1. Alico, Benistration, Number/USCIS, Number:

OR	S-D Barcode Do Not Artis In Thiu Space
2. Form I-94 Admission Number:	
If you obtained your admission number from CBP in connection with your arrival in the United States, include the following:	

• •	A contract of the second s	
Country of Issuance		-
Some aliens maywrite	"N/A" on the Foreign Passport Number and Country	rof Issuance fields. (See instauctio

Signature of Employee:	Date (timotholyyyy):
Preparer and/or Translator Certification (70 be com, employee.)	pleted and signed if Section 1 is prepared by a person other than the
l attest, under penalty of perjury, that I have assisted in i information is true and correct.	the completion of this form and that to the best of my knowledge th
Signature of Preparer or Translator.	Date (timobiol/yyy):

ast Name (Pennly Name)	First Name (Giver	r Name)
add nas (SkeetNumberandName)	City or Town	State Zp Code
		<u> </u>

Form I-9 0308/13 N

Page 7 of 9

I CIM I HAR THE CHARTER MATTER

Employment Eligibility

Check documents and fill out Section 2 on the second page

Retain in personnel file separately

Employers or their au borbed is presentative mu must physically examine one obcurrent from Us the "Usis of Acceptable Documents" on the next issuing authority, document number, and explant	tA OR examine a page of this form	combing ton	of one d	treen to c	10m LIS	t8 and on	e obcamer	thom List Cas listed
Employee Last Name, Arst Name and Middle	initial from Sect	ion 1:						
List A C Kentity and Employment Autionization		ist B en i t;			AND	Em	List plo;ment	C Authorization
Docum e ut Tibe :	Docume at Tibe	:			De	ooume et Ti	De:	
isseing Antionfly:	issung Aution	ţ:			ja s	uhgAttic	orit(c	
Docum ent Num ber.	Docume at Num	be r.			D4	ocume et N	mber:	
Explication Date (//any)(thmotio/)yyyy):	Expiration Date	(//any)(nm/c	D WW		Ð	piration Da	ste (Many)	(mm/ad/yyyy);
Docum ent Tibe:					_			
bsing Antionty:								
Docum ent Num ber.								
Expiration Date (Yany)(mm/did/)yyy):								S-D Barcode
Docum ent Title:							Do N	ot Arite in This Space
issu ing Autilionity:								
Docum ent Num ber.								
Explication Calle (/ an y)(mm/chol)(yyyy):								
l attest, under penaity of perjury, that (1) above-listed document(s) appear to be ge employee is authorized to work in the Uh The employee's first day of employment.	anuine andto r ited States.	elate to the		yee nan	ned, ar		he best o	f myknowledge th
Signature of Employer or Authorized Representati		Date (mmta	rww	TI	be of Em	ployer or A	utionize d	Representative
LastName (Føm ly Nøme)	Fløt Name (C/ve	n Name)		Employer	rs Bush	ess or O ig	antzation M	lame
Employe (s BitshessorOrganization Address 🔊	teet Num ber and	Name)Chy	or Town				State	Zþ Code
Section 3. Reverification and Reh								
A. New Name (/applicable) Last Name (family/	lenne) FløtNam-	e (C/ven Nam	e)	Middle	e in Ital	E Date of	Retir (7a	ndicapie) (uuuropi))))
C. If employee's previous gran i of employment aut presented that establishes current employment.					The docu	menitom	UsiA or La	sIC ine employee
Docume ut T Be:	Door	iment Nim be	r:			E	Epiralion (ale (fan)(mm/dd))yy
l attext, under penalty of perjury, that to the the employee prevented document(x) the d								
Signature of Employer or Articontzed Representa	tue: Date	(mm/cb/)yyy):	Print Na	arne of E	3m p byero	rAutionize	d Representative:
Farm I-9 0308/13 N			_		_			Page 80

Section 2. Employer or Authorized Representative Review and Verificat

Disability Discrimination

s a neo-natal ICU nurse's exemption rom her employer's absence policy a easonable accommodation for a lisability?

Samper v. Providence St. Vincent Medical Center (9th Cir. 2012) 675 F.3d 1233.

I CIM I HARRE CHARLEN MILLER



/olunteer reserve officer could not sue or disability discrimination under FEHA because he was not an employee.

Estrada v. City of Los Angeles (2013) 218 Cal.App.4th 143.



Minimum Wage

- uly 1, 2014, the minimum wage will increase from 8.00 per hour to \$9.00 per hour.
- anuary 1, 2016, it will be raised again to \$10.00 er hour.
- Currently, exempt employees must earn at least 2,773.33 per month.
- uly 1, 2014, that amount will increase to 3,120.00 per month.
- anuary 1, 2016, exempt employees will need to arn at least \$3,466.67 per month.

Independent Contractors

- District manager is independent contractor
- Outies were recruiting insurance agents; train and motivate the agents; and represent Farmers a made by agents she recruited
- Key is the right to control
- She controlled hours
- Supervised her own staff
- Paid for her costs

Beaumont-Jacques v. Farmers Group, Inc. (2013) 217 Cal.App.4th 1138.

Interns

- Jnpaid intern suits
- nterns argue that they are treated as employees
- Agency should be careful evaluate whether an intern is learning or doing your work



Thank You!

eredith Karasch

- Attorney | Los Angeles Office
- 310.981.2062 | <u>mkarasch@lcwlegal.com</u>
- www.lcwlegal.com/Meredith Karasch

