

PTC-SC November 6, 2015



PTC-SC November 6, 2015



What Are SJTs?

- There is no SJT rule book. SJTs can and do look different across various tests.
- They present a scenario of some event or problem situation and at least one response to the event/situation.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

The respondent needs to evaluate the offered response(s).

Everyone in your work group has received a new computer except you. What is the best thing to do?

A. Assume it was a mistake and speak to your supervisor.

B. Confront your supervisor regarding why you are being treated unfairly.

C. Take a new computer from a co-worker's desk.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

5

6

D. Complain to human resources.

E. Quit.

 Everyone in your work group has received a new computer except you. You assume it was a mistake and speak to your supervisor.



PTC-SC November 6, 2015

In addition to response instructions, SJTs may vary on:

□Test Fidelity

- □Stem Length
- □Stem Complexity
- □Stem Comprehensibility
- □Nested Stems

□Nature of Responses

Item Heterogeneity (i.e., measure many things)

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

8

9

Test Fidelity

- Fidelity: Extent to which the format of the stem is consistent with how the situation would be encountered in a work setting.
 - □ High fidelity: Situation is conveyed through a short video (people or avatars).
 - Low fidelity: Situation is presented in written form.



Test Fidelity

- Written vs. video presentation is a rough cut on fidelity.
- More refined definitions of fidelity could distinguish levels of fidelity within type of presentation.
 - \Box More specific to the target job:
 - Mention the organization name.
 - In video, wear the organization's uniform.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Stem Length Length: Some stems are very short (*Everyone receives a new computer but you.*). Other stems present very detailed (long paragraph) descriptions of situations.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

12

A man on a very urgent mission during battle finds that he must cross a stream about 40 feet wide. A blizzard has been blowing and the stream has frozen over. However, because of the snow, he does not know how thick the ice is. He sees two planks about 10 feet long near the point where he wishes to cross. He also knows where there is a bridge about 2 miles downstream. Under the circumstance he should:

- A. Walk to the bridge and cross it.
- B. Run rapidly across the ice.
- C. Break a hole in the ice near the edge of the stream to see how deep the stream is.
- D. Walk with the aid of planks, pushing one ahead of the other and walking on them.
- E. Creep slowly across the ice. Northrop, 1989, p. 190

Stem Complexity

- Complexity: Stems vary in the complexity of the situation presented.
 - □ Low complexity: One has difficulty with a new assignment and needs instructions.
 - High complexity: One has multiple supervisors who are not cooperating with each other, and who are providing conflicting instructions concerning which of your assignments has highest priority.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Stem Comprehensibility

- Comprehensibility: It is more difficult to understand the meaning and importance of some situations than others.
 - Some items may have more complex vocabulary or more complex sentence structure.
 - Examine the comprehensibility of item stems using a reading formula. Sacco, Schmidt & Rogg (2000)

13



- Length, complexity, and comprehensibility of the situations are likely interrelated and probably drive the cognitive loading of the items.
 - Cognitive loading is the extent to which an item taps cognitive ability.

16

17

PTC-SC November 6, 2015



- Some situational judgment tests provide an introductory paragraph describing an event.
 - For example, a long paragraph is presented describing the need for a large training program to support a software implementation.
- Following this introduction, there are various SJT items addressing challenges relevant to the event.
 - Trainers not available
 - Training location needs to be moved
 - $\hfill\square$ The dates of the training need to be changed

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Nature of Responses

- Unlike item stems that vary widely in format, item responses are usually presented in a written format and are relatively short.
 - Even SJTs that use video to present the situation often present the responses in written form, sometimes accompanied by an audio presentation (a voice is reading the responses).











1990's Motowidlo reinvigorated interest in SJTs
"Low fidelity" simulations
1990's Sternberg "tacit knowledge" tests
Today, SJTs are used in many organizations, are promoted by various consulting firms, and are researched by many.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

24







What content do SJTs measure?

- In addition to the explicit content (e.g., what to do when you did not get a new computer), SJTs typically assess:
 - General cognitive ability
 - Conscientiousness
 - Agreeableness
 - Emotional stability
 - □ Job knowledge (McDaniel et al., 2001; McDaniel et al., 2007)

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

 General cognitive ability predicts job performance for all jobs.
 Conscientiousness and emotional stability predict performance for all jobs and agreeableness for many jobs.
 These three personality traits form a socialization factor.

28

29

- Can generally get by in life if you have these.
- If very low on one or more of them, you have problems functioning in the world.





 SJTs can also increment general cognitive ability to some extent. (McDaniel et al., 2007)

As we are about to see, SJTs generally have smaller group differences than general cognitive ability, so one might be able to both raise validity and reduce mean group differences using a SJT with a general cognitive ability test.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

32

 Some research using incumbent samples suggests that job knowledge instructions yield higher prediction of job performance than behavioral tendency instructions.

In high stakes testing, though, the response instructions may not vary in validity, which brings up the topic of response instructions and faking...

PTC-SC November 6, 2015





PTC-SC November 6, 2015



- misrepresent their behavioral tendency.
- McDaniel keeps a messy desk. However, McDaniel will report that he keeps his desk clean and tidy.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

36

Knowledge instructions ask for the "best" answer and are thus assessments of knowledge of the appropriateness of responses.
Pick the best response.
Pick the best response and then the worst response.
Rate the responses on effectiveness.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

37

38



■ What is the cube root of 46,656?



In high stakes testing, applicants may ignore behavioral tendency instructions and answer as if they are given knowledge instructions.

 If you use job knowledge instructions, you don't place applicants in a position of lying to get the job.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015



Most SJT group difference studies are based on incumbents who have already been screened and hired. These differences will likely underestimate the group differences in applicant samples.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

42











- The more topics you cover the more KSAs you can assess.
- □ Hopefully, the more job-related the test.
- Reduce readings demands associated with group differences.
 PTC-SC November 6, 2015

47

Scenario sorting

- Sort the scenarios into piles of similar content.
- If you have not covered enough content areas, collect more scenarios and ask the subject matter experts to focus on specific topics.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Also, tell them the topics on which you already have enough scenarios.







Faking resistant

 Most applicants will probably answer with a "provide the best answer" mindset no matter how you instruct them to answer.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015







PTC-SC November 6, 2015

 Ambiguous response are associated with low validity.

- □ The effectiveness rating is influenced by assumptions made by the respondent.
- When some good applicants make one assumption and other good applicants make a different assumption, the answer key is going to be wrong for at least one of these groups of good applicants.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

55

56

Protocol analysis to find ambiguous responses

- Ask several people to take the SJT while thinking out loud.
- Goal is to identify responses that are being interpreted differently.
- What do they think when they see "Talk to your boss."
- Edit responses/situations to remove ambiguity.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Scoring key development

- Group of subject matter experts
 - Collect individual ratings and see if there is consensus.
 - If poor consensus, rewrite the scenario or response until you reach reasonable consensus.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

- Delete SME ratings that are outliers.
- Applicant mean as the key

Score processing With a Likert rating you are probably going to score the test as a deviation from the keyed answer. • So if the answer key is 4.5, both those

- who answer 4 or 5 have a score of -.5.
- Highest score is zero.

 Adjust scores to make the scores look reasonable (e.g., add 100 or some other positive number).

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

58

Mean group differences

- In Likert ratings, there are stable mean racial differences.
- Blacks, and to a lesser extent Spanishancestry people, tend to use the end of the rating scale more (1's and 6's on a 6-point scale).
- Whites and Asians tend to use more moderate scale points (2 or 5). McDaniel et al. (2011) PTC-SC November 6, 2015

59

- When scoring the SJT, the keyed answer is seldom near a 1 or a 6 on a 6-point scale.
- Anyone who uses this extreme response style will get lower scores.
- If the extreme rating style is unrelated to job performance, and is more common among Blacks and Spanish-heritage respondents, the test scoring is introducing racial bias.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015



□ If the answer key said it was one of the effective responses (4, 5, 6), and respondent gave one of the effective responses (4, 5, 6), the respondent gets a point.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

□ Same deal for ineffective

61

62

Fancy-pants score transformation

- Within-subject *z* score transformation of scores.
- z score transformation of answer key.
- Score as deviation from the key
- Extra-fancy-pants: squared deviations from the key

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Questions?





Development Issues

Identify a job or job class

- Get clarification on the job(s) for which the SJT is intended.
- If some jobs involve supervision and others do not, decide if there should be a separate or supplemental set of items for supervisors.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015





Development Issues

Critical Incidents (e.g., Job Stories)

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Recommend critical incidents

□ It is unlikely that an item writer can come up with the richness and breadth of scenarios that can be generated by a group of subject matter experts writing critical incidents.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

69

More ►





Think of something you did in the past that you were proud of.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Development Issues Critical Incident Workshops

Prompts for generating critical incidents:

- Think of a time when you learned something the hard way. What did you do and what was the outcome?
- Think of a person whom you admire on the job. Can you recall an incident that convinced you that the person was an outstanding performer?
- Think of a time when you realized too late that you should have done something differently. What did you do and what was the outcome?

PTC-SC November 6, 2015





Development Issues



- Individual feedback on initial critical incidents:
 - □ Reinforce productivity
 - Coach the clueless
- Consider laptops. Many people are more comfortable typing for 3 hours than writing with a pen.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

No more than 3 hours per session

75

Development Issues Critical Incident Workshops

- Conduct two waves of critical incident workshops
 - In the first wave of workshops, let them write on whatever they want.
 - In the second wave of workshops, direct them away from topics that have been covered well and direct them toward topics that need better coverage.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

76

77



PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Development Issues Sort Critical Incidents

- SJT developer sorts incidents into piles based on content and names each pile.
- Content of incidents dictates the piles.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Typical content piles (next page)







Sort Critical Incidents

Goals...

```
□ Identify content that is inappropriate for items (content that you do not want to share with job applicants). For example:
```

- EEO discrimination
- Workplace violence
- Topics that are sources of conflict within the organization (crashing stock price, unpopular new policy)

Sort Critical Incidents

- Have multiple people perform the sorting.
 Some sorts are more appealing than others.
- The sorted piles describe the content categories to be assessed by the SJT.
- The content categories should be reviewed by the client or other parties that need to be kept happy.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

82

83

Development Issues Sort Critical Incidents

- Developing item stems from critical incidents is the next step.
- This is labor intensive.
- If you will ultimately drop the stem due to content, make the decision now so you do not waste labor turning the critical incident into a stem.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Development Issues Turn Critical Incidents into Item Stems

- Working from the critical incidents, write item stems.
- The same item does not need to be written twice, but you need to decide how redundant the items are permitted to be.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Development Issues

Turn Critical Incidents into Item Stems

- For example, how many problematic coworker items do you want?
 Good co-worker gone bad
 - Co-worker breaks rules
 - Co-worker is rude
 - □ Co-worker is lazy
 - Co-worker needs training
 - □ Co-worker needs a bath

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Development Issues

Turn Critical Incidents into Item Stems

- Translate a critical incident into a stem at the appropriate degree of specificity.
- The critical incident probably is job relevant to the writer who held a specific position.
- The stem needs to be appropriate and jobrelated for all jobs covered by the SJT.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

86

85

Development Issues Turn Critical Incidents into Item Stems

- A critical incident may concern difficulty learning a new software package for inventory control.
- If all jobs do not require the use of this software, make the stem refer to "new software for your job".
- If all jobs do not involve software, make the stem refer to "difficulty in learning a new work procedure."

Development Issues

Turn Critical Incidents into Item Stems

- Stems need to be scrubbed for clarity and brevity.
- Stems with ambiguous meanings will result in disagreement concerning the effectiveness of the responses.
- Standardize the use of terms (boss vs. supervisor, co-worker vs. team member, etc.).
 Making these decisions early will reduce editing time.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

88

89

Development Issues
 Generate item responses
 The next step is to generate item responses to item stems.

- This is labor intensive.
- If an item will be ultimately rejected due to something about the stem, drop the stem now rather than collecting item responses and then dropping the question later.
- Generate more stems than you want questions.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Development Issues

Generate item responses

- Assemble a survey of item stems with space for respondents to write potential responses to the stem.
- The critical incident from which the stem was developed probably contained one response to the situation.



PTC-SC November 6, 2015

91



Development Issues

Generate item responses

- A given subject matter expert will often only be able to generate 2-3 non-redundant responses.
- Use multiple subject matter experts working independently to get the maximum number of non-redundant responses.
- Some stems result in many responses.
- A pool of subject matter experts working independently can usually generate between 5 and 12 non-redundant responses.

Development Issues Generate item responses

- After the critical incident workshops, the employer is realizing the labor demands of this process.
- To be responsive this need, the test developer might generate some item responses to reduce the number of additional subject matter experts needed.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

94

Development Issues

Generate item responses

- My preference is to only use subject matter experts to generate responses.
- A fall back position is to have the test developer develop some responses for those items where they have expertise and then have the subject matter experts try to add more.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

95

Development Issues Generate item responses

- Some item stems will have technical content for which the test developer cannot generate responses:
 - An application written in Labadobo software is yielding an error message that the synchronhoover is not cohobobbing. You have determined that the message is not due to the framawizer or the thingahoober.

Development Issues Generate item responses Edit item responses. Many of the item responses will be redundant. Might permit some redundancy in responses to convey a nuance: Confront your boss about X and ... Assume X was a mistake and speak with your boss ...

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

97

98

99

Development Issues

Generate item responses

 Screen out responses that will have little variance. These will primarily be very inappropriate responses that no applicant will state they find effective:

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

 $\hfill\square$ Stab boss in neck with an ice pick.

Development Issues

Determine Item Response Instructions

- One now has a set of items each with multiple responses.
- The next step is to determine the response instructions for the test.
- Response instructions tell the respondent how to evaluate the item responses.
- Choices are knowledge instructions or behavioral consistency.

Development Issues

Determine Item Response Instructions

Whether one uses knowledge or behavioral tendency instructions has important implications for:

□ Applicant faking

- The magnitude of cognitive and non-cognitive correlates
- Criterion-related validity
- □ Magnitude of mean racial differences

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Development Issues

Response Instructions and Construct Validity

- SJTs with knowledge instructions tend to be more correlated with cognitive ability and less correlated with non-cognitive traits.
- SJTs with behavioral tendency instructions tend to be more correlated with noncognitive traits and less correlated with cognitive ability.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

101

100

Development Issues

- One needs to determine what the right answer is to build a scoring key.
- Issues of scoring SJTs are not much different than issues of scoring biodata, but the options are more restricted.
 - Sometimes biodata items are scored by building homogeneous scales.
 - $\hfill\square$ It is difficult to build SJTs with homogeneous scales







```
Collect effectiveness data and have mean and
standard deviations and frequencies of ratings
available to experts who decide the key
```

PTC-SC November 6, 2015





Development Issues

Scoring with Hybrid Keys

- A hybrid key is some mix of rational and empirical keying.
- For example, you might empirically key but only retain the keyed option if it makes sense.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Development Issues Scoring Issues

- If one uses a Likert rating scale to record responses and uses a rational keying method, what do you do with the responses rated as average?
- Likert scales, with an even number of response categories (4 or 6), force all response options to be either effective or ineffective (or likely to be performed or unlikely to be performed).

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

109

110

111

Development Issues
Scoring Issues
Likert scales often use adjectives:

Very effective, effective, ineffective, very ineffective
From a litigation point of view, it makes some uneasy to try to defend the difference between very effective and effective.

Your "very effective" might mean the same as my

Your "very effective" might mean the same as my "effective"

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Development Issues Scoring Issues

- For the purpose of rational keying, one might consider "very effective" and "effective" to be identical responses.
- Thus, one could score the item as dichotomous.
 - If the scoring key indicates that the response is a good thing to do, a respondent providing a rating of "very effective" or "effective" gets a point; other ratings get zero.



Development Issues Scoring Issues Some use the mean effectiveness ratings as the correct answer and score responses as deviations from the mean: If the mean is 1.5, a respondent who provided a rating of 1 or 2 would both have a -.5 as a score on the item. Zero is the highest possible score.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Development Issues Scoring Issues

- Some research shows that mean ratings by experts give the same means as those given by novices.
- The novices have greater standard deviations.

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

114







 Sole court case:
 Green vs. Washington State Patrol and Department of Personnel and State of

Washington (USDC, ED WA, 1997)

PTC-SC November 6, 2015

Did not have KSA item linkages